UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/867,301	05/29/2001	Robert H. Scheer	31083.05US4	6151
34018	7590 01/13/2006	EXAMINER		INER
GREENBERG TRAURIG, LLP			JASMIN, LYNDA C	
77 WEST WACKER DRIVE SUITE 2500			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
	L 60601-1732		3627	

DATE MAILED: 01/13/2006

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
Office Action Commons	09/867,301	SCHEER, ROBERT H.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Lynda Jasmin	3627				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply						
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).						
Status						
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 23 Se	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 23 September 2005.					
	action is non-final.					
closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Disposition of Claims						
4)⊠ Claim(s) 1,4-18 and 20-45 is/are pending in the application.						
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1,4-18 and 20-45</u> is/are rejected.						
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.						
_	_					
Application Papers						
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.						
10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ accepted or b)☐ objected to by the Examiner.						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).						
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.						
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.						
 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). 						
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.						
Attachment(s)						
I) ☑ Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) ☑ Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	4) Interview Summary (Paper No(s)/Mail Da					
Paper No(s)/Mail Date 8/29/05.	_	atent Application (PTO-152)				

Application/Control Number: 09/867,301 Page 2

Art Unit: 3627

DETAILED ACTION

1. Amendment received September 23, 2005 has been acknowledged.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 2. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 3. This application currently names joint inventors. In considering patentability of the claims under 35 U.S.C. 103(a), the examiner presumes that the subject matter of the various claims was commonly owned at the time any inventions covered therein were made absent any evidence to the contrary. Applicant is advised of the obligation under 37 CFR 1.56 to point out the inventor and invention dates of each claim that was not commonly owned at the time a later invention was made in order for the examiner to consider the applicability of 35 U.S.C. 103(c) and potential 35 U.S.C. 102(e), (f) or (g) prior art under 35 U.S.C. 103(a).
- 4. Claims 1, 4-17, 18, 20-45 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Abdel-Malek et al. (6,959,235), in view of Yang et al. (2001/0034673).

Abdel-Malek et al. discloses a method embodied in a computer readable media for moving one or more physical items (via parts requisition for locomotive) in a supply

Application/Control Number: 09/867,301

Art Unit: 3627

chain that is distributed over a plurality of geographic locations (via locomotive and other asset used in industrial processes) with the steps of:

extracting information from a customer maintenance system (via monitoring and diagnostic service center "MDSC" 20) indicative of a change in a scheduled maintenance work order (via conducting predictive analyses to identify components that are likely to fail in the near term) to create an advance demand notice (repair recommendations) that includes a specification of one or more physical items excepted to be used during a repair procedure (via schematics, maintenance manuals, and other technical documentation stored at the MDSC; col. 2, lines 50-61) see also (col. 6, lines 1-7).

Abdel-Malek et al. further discloses extracting from the customer system information pertaining to a completion of the repair procedure (col. 5, lines 37-42) and using the information to populate the equipment knowledge base for use in future probability of need calculations (via expert analysis; col. 8, lines 34-49).

Abdel-Malek further discloses the concept of providing maintenance for supply chain (via any mobile asset) where a knowledge base or experience database of data and people is utilized. This knowledge base forms maintenance repository of historical data that is consulted for predictions of system and component failure modes and events (expert repository 42; col. 7, lines 19-49).

However, Abdel-Malek fails to explicitly discloses using a network of intelligent software agents to move each of the one or more physical items specified in the advance demand notice to a respective at least one of the plurality of geographic

Application/Control Number: 09/867,301

Art Unit: 3627

locations within the supply chain as a function of a probability of need for each of the one or more physical items.

Yang et al. discloses the concept of planning and managing parts inventory for entities in a supply chain with the step of indicating service parts needs at one or more locations. Yang et al. further discloses the concept of monitoring a customer system and typically adopts a replenishment model to maintain a steady inventory of service parts within its internal supply chain, the objective being to ensure that service parts are always available in sufficient quantities to allow the customer to conduct business operations. In some cases, instead or in addition to holding dedicated inventory within its own supply chain, customer may enter into outsourcing agreements with one or more distributors by which the distributors assume the responsibility to maintain inventory at a level sufficient to provide a specified level of service to customer. Customer may not maintain (itself or through distributors) an inventory of all the service parts that it requires. Further, there is often a need to move inventory between distribution centers within supply chain.

From this teaching of Yang et al. it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify the monitoring diagnostic service center system of Abdel-Malek to include the network of intelligent software agents (via manager application) taught by Yang et al. in order to fulfill service parts between one or more customer locations.

Art Unit: 3627

Response to Arguments

5. Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 1, 4-18, and 20-45 have been considered but are most in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Lynda Jasmin whose telephone number is (571) 272-6782. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday- Friday (9:30-6:00) with Thursday Telework.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Alexander Kalinowski can be reached on (571) 272-6771. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

⊫ynda Jasmin Primary Examiner Art Unit 3627